

1099 Taxpayer Protection Act of 2011

April 5, 2011

Special Report

HIGHLIGHTS

- Repeal Of Expanded Business Information Reporting On Vendors
- Repeal Of Rental Property Expense Reporting
- Fully Offset Through Greater Health Insurance Premium Assistance Credit Recapture
- Reinstatement Of Reporting Exception For Corporations

INSIDE

Business Reporting	1
Rental Reporting.....	2
Offset.....	2

Congress Approves 1099 Repeal Bill; Obama Expected To Sign

The Senate on April 5 approved a bill (H.R. 4) to repeal controversial expanded information reporting on Form 1099 for certain business payments and rental property expense payments. The House had approved H.R. 4 on March 3, 2011. To offset cost of repeal, H.R.4 increases the amount of the overpayment of the health care credit that is subject to recapture.

Since both the House and Senate passed identical bills, H.R. 4 – also known as the “Comprehensive 1099 Taxpayer Protection and Replacement of Exchange Subsidy Overpayments Act of 2011” — will not have to go to a conference committee. Despite some reservations over the offset provision, President Obama is expected to sign the bill when it reaches the White House.

IMPACT. *Repeal of expanded business information reporting (and to a lesser extent, repeal of rental property expense payment reporting) is certain to reduce the burden on taxpayers, especially on small businesses. The mechanics of expanded reporting would have required taxpayers to capture significantly more information for reporting.*

The Obama administration acknowledged in a statement immediately following the passage of H.R. 4 that this stand-alone legislation “corrects a flaw that placed an unnecessary bookkeeping burden on small businesses.” However, it clearly is not satisfied with the changes made to the health care credit, with the White House indicating that “we look forward to improving the tax credit policy in this legislation.”

COMMENT. *Speaking to reporters after the Senate vote, Sen. Mike Johanns, R-Nebraska, one of the most vocal supporters of repeal, praised the bipartisan passage of the bill and predicted the bill would be signed into law quickly by President Obama. The Senate vote (87 to 12) is a veto proof majority.*

BUSINESS REPORTING

PPACA. In March 2010, Congress approved the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (PPACA) (P.L. 111-148), which included among its revenue raisers an expansion of business information reporting. Section 9006 of the PPACA required businesses, charities and government entities to file a Form 1099 when they make annual purchases aggregating \$600 or more to a single provider of goods, other than to a vendor that is a tax-exempt organization, for payments made after December 31, 2011 and reported in 2013 and thereafter. The PPACA also repealed the long-standing reporting exception for payments made to corporations.

COMMENT. *Almost immediately after passage of the PPACA, businesses voiced concerns about the burden of reporting. National Taxpayer Advocate Nina Olson also warned about the burdens of reporting, especially on small businesses.*

Repeal. H.R. 4 repeals the expanded information reporting requirements for business payments as if Section 9006 of the PPACA had not been enacted.

IMPACT. *Repeal means that the pre-PPACA 1099 reporting rules for busi-*

ness payments continue unchanged. In particular, businesses must continue to issue Form 1099s for payments of \$600 or more to service providers. Additionally, repeal means that the long-standing exception to required information reporting for payments made to corporations remains intact.

COMMENT. *The exception for payments to a corporation is not universal. The exception does not apply to payments made for attorney's fees, and amounts paid to a corporation that provides medical or health care services (subject to certain exceptions). Payments to certain tax-exempt organizations, government entities, international organizations, and retirement plans continue to be excepted from reporting under IRS regulations.*

RENTAL REPORTING

2010 Small Business Jobs Act. In September, 2010, Congress approved the Small Business Jobs Act of 2010 (2010 Small Business Jobs Act) (P.L. 111-240). Section 2101 of the 2010 Small Business Jobs Act required information reporting by landlords on Form 1099 of certain rental property expense payments of \$600 or more in conjunction with their rental properties made after December 31, 2011 and reported in 2012 and thereafter. Under the 2010 Small Business Jobs Act, information reporting was not specifically limited to landlords whose rental operations amounted to a trade or business.

COMMENT. *The types of expenses contemplated by the 2010 Small Business Jobs Act for reporting would have been, for example, payments made to craftspeople, such as plumbers and roofers. Payments for professional services, such as payments to accountants, also would have been covered by the 2010 Small Business Jobs Act.*

COMMENT. *The 2010 Small Business Jobs Act exempted some taxpayers from*

the new reporting requirement. Among the taxpayers exempted were individuals who received rental income of not more than a nominal amount. Congress instructed the IRS to issue regulations on the exemptions. Passage of H.R. 4 makes the IRS guidance, which has not been issued, unnecessary.

Repeal. H.R. 4 repeals the rental property expense payment reporting requirements as if Section 2101 of the 2010 Small Business Jobs Act had not been enacted.

“Repeal of expanded business information reporting (and to a lesser extent, repeal of rental property expense payment reporting) is certain to reduce the burden on taxpayers, especially on small businesses.”

IMPACT. *Repeal of Sec. 2101 of the 2010 Small Business Jobs Act means that landlords need not identify specific expenses for rental property expense reporting. However, some expenses may be reportable under other information reporting requirements of the Tax Code. Further, landlords with activities that amount to a trade or business continue to be required to report payments of \$600 or more to service providers.*

OFFSET

To pay for the cost of repeal, estimated at approximately \$25 billion over 10 years, H.R. 4 amends another provision of the PPACA. Beginning in 2014, taxpayers who purchase qualified health care coverage through an American Health Benefit

Exchange are entitled to a refundable income tax credit equal to the health insurance premium assistance credit (new Code Sec. 36B). Generally, the credit is available to taxpayers (individuals or families) with household income between 100 percent and 400 percent of the federal poverty level (FPL).

COMMENT. *PPACA provides for Treasury to make an advance payment of the premium assistance credit, based on the taxpayer's income for the prior year. The advance credit can be paid directly for the cost of health insurance, thus relieving the taxpayer of a portion (if not most) of the out-of-pocket cost of the insurance.*

If the advance payments exceed the allowable credit, the excess is an increase to the taxpayer's taxes for the year. However, PPACA limits the increase in taxes to a maximum \$400 (or \$250 for unmarried taxpayers) for individuals with family income below 400 percent of the FPL for the family size involved.

H.R. 4 increases the amount of the excess credit that has to be repaid by the taxpayer, by providing an increasing cap based on household income. The increase will be capped at:

- \$600 for taxpayers whose household income is less than 200 percent of the FPL;
- \$1,500 if household income is at least 200 percent but less than 300 percent; and
- \$2,500 if household income is at least 300 percent but less than 400 percent.

For unmarried taxpayers, the caps would be 50 percent of the specified amounts: \$300, \$750, and \$1,250.

COMMENT. *The Obama administration hopes to revisit the operation of recapture within the health insurance premium assistance credit well before its 2014 effective date. A rollback of this recapture provision, however, must now be offset elsewhere.*